

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILIN		NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/781,049	02/	/09/2001	Rikihiro Iida	10830-054001 / A36-129092	7560
26211	7590	03/26/2003			
FISH & RIC			EXAMINER		
45 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA, SUITE 2800 NEW YORK, NY 10111)	JACKSON, CO	ORNELIUS H
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2828	
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					J.			
		Appl	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
* Office Action Symmony		09/7	781,049	IIDA, RIKIHIRO	_			
· · · On	fice Action Summary	Exar	miner	Art Unit				
 			elius H. Jackson	2828				
The I	MAILING DATE of this commun ly	ication appears o	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress			
THE MAILIN - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply - Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD F IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN time may be available under the provisions ONTHS from the mailing date of this common reply specified above is less than thirty or reply is specified above, the maximum structure is specified above.	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. o) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a no he statutory minimum of thin and will expire SIX (6) MON he application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) fi	led on <u>30 Decem</u>	<u>nber 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This a	action is FINAL .	2b) This action	on is non-final.					
	e this application is in condition d in accordance with the prac Claims				merits is			
·	(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
•	the above claim(s) is/a		m consideration.					
	(s) is/are allowed.			0				
6)⊠ Claim((s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			Paulc	m			
7)∐ Claim((s) is/are objected to.			PAUL IP				
8)∏ Claim((s) are subject to restric	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA	AMINER			
Application Par	pers			TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2	2800			
9)∐ The spe	ecification is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
10)☐ The dra	awing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or	b) objected to by t	he Examiner.				
	cant may not request that any obj		• • •	` ,				
	pposed drawing correction file			lisapproved by the Examine	r.			
	roved, corrected drawings are re							
, —	th or declaration is objected to	by the Examine	r.					
	85 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
•	wledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
·	b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	Certified copies of the priority							
	Copies of the certified copies application from the International attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (I	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		itage			
14) Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
	e translation of the foreign lar rledgment is made of a claim t		• •	-				
Attachment(s)		•						
2) Notice of Draft	erences Cited (PTO-892) tsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s Informal Patent Application (PTO				

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049 Page 2

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. Acknowledgment is made that applicant's Amendment, filed on 30 December 2002, has been entered. Upon entrance of the Amendment, claims 1 and 3 were amended. Claims 1-3 are now pending in the present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United
- invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Broutin et al. (6400737). Broutin et al. discloses a DFB laser driving device **Fig. 4** comprising an input unit (remote input to terminal **180**), an approximate temperature calculating section **126**, an output level variation calculating section **see col. 4**, **line 56-col. 5**, **line**

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049

Art Unit: 2828

19, an output level controlling section 160, and a temperature controlling unit see col. 4, lines 29-36.

Page 3

Regarding claim 2, Broutin et al. discloses inputting set values of a wavelength and a output level, see col. 5, lines 22-44; calculating an approximate temperature of the DFB laser based on the set values of the wavelength and output level; calculating an output level variation of the DFB laser on the approximate temperature; calculating a calculated value based on the output level variation and the set value of the output level; controlling the output level of the DFB laser based on the calculated value; calculating a set temperature of the DFB laser based on the calculated value and the set value of the wavelength; and controlling the temperature of the DFB laser based on the set temperature, see col. 5, line 45-col. 9, line 43.

Regarding claim 3, Broutin et al. discloses a storage medium and all the other stated limitations, see col. 5, lines 22-44 and col. 9, lines 33-43. Also note that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 30 December 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argument are as follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049 Page 4

Art. Unit: 2828

a. Broutin et al. (6400737) does not disclose "calculating an approximate temperature ... based on the set values of the wavelength and output level" or "calculating an output level variation ... based on the approximate temperature" as recited in claim 2.

- b. Broutin et al. (6400737) does not disclose "approximate temperature calculating section" or "output level variation calculating section" as recited in claim 1.
- c. Broutin et al. (6400737) does not disclose to "calculate an approximate temperature ... based on the set values of the wavelength and output level" or "calculate an output level variation ... based on the approximate temperature" as recited in claim 3.

Examiner reply to Applicant's argument are as follows:

a. Broutin et al. (6400737) does disclose "calculating an approximate temperature ... based on the set values of the wavelength and output level", see col. 6, line 6-col. 7, line 5, or "calculating an output level variation ... based on the approximate temperature", see Fig. 3 and col. 5, line 51-col. 6, line 4. Second, it should be noted that information received by the thermistor is based on the set values of the wavelength and output level, since the set values of the wavelength and output level are what controls the laser temperature as well. This change in temperature is read/obtained by the thermistor in its calculation of the approximate value of laser temperature. Third, Applicant failed to specify how the calculation is performed within the claim limitation. Finally, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the

Application/Control Number: 09/781,049 Page 5

Art Unit: 2828

claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

- b. Broutin et al. (6400737) does disclose "approximate temperature calculating section", see col. 6, line 6-col. 7, line 5, or "output level variation calculating section", see Fig. 3 and col. 5, line 51-col. 6, line 4. See also reply above.
- c. Broutin et al. (6400737) does disclose to "calculate an approximate temperature ... based on the set values of the wavelength and output level", see col. 6, line 6-col. 7, line 5, or "calculate an output level variation ... based on the approximate temperature", see Fig. 3 and col. 5, line 51-col. 6, line 4. See also reply to "a." above.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Levinson (5019769), Stayt, Jr. et al. (6389046), Bestwick et al. (6101210), Baba et al. (6229832) and Broutin et al. (6449077) all disclose (alone or in combination) the claimed invention.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2828

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

0956.

March 20, 2003